The College follows University Procedure 12.06.99.C0.01, Post-Tenure Review.

APPENDIX B: College Promotion and Tenure Committee's Post-Tenure Review

Faculty Member's Name: ______________________________________________

Years Under Review: ___________________________________________________

1) PURPOSE. The purpose of comprehensive evaluation is to:
   - Assess whether the individual is making a contribution consistent with that expected of a tenured faculty member.
   - Provide guidance for continuing and meaningful faculty development,
   - Assist faculty to enhance professional skills and goals,
   - Refocus academic and professional efforts, when appropriate,
   - Provide assurance that faculty members are meeting their responsibilities to the University and the State of Texas

2) REVIEW COMMITTEE EVALUATION

   Teaching:  
   ___ Exceeds Expectations  ___ Standard  ___ Unsatisfactory

   Scholarship and creative activity:  
   ___ Exceeds Expectations  ___ Standard  ___ Unsatisfactory

   Service:  
   ___ Exceeds Expectations  ___ Standard  ___ Unsatisfactory

   Comprehensive Evaluation of Faculty Member’s Overall Performance:  
   ___ Exceeds Expectations  ___ Standard  ___ Unsatisfactory

STATEMENT. If the peer-review evaluation is Unsatisfactory in any category, the peer-review committee shall provide a summary for the basis of that determination. The summary shall contain sufficient documentation to identify the area(s) and particulars of the unsatisfactory performance and the basis for the committee’s decision. The report shall refrain from speculating on the reasons why the performance is unsatisfactory.

Insert summary letter here (may continue to additional pages, if needed).
The College follows University Procedure 12.06.99.C0.01, *Post-Tenure Review*.

3) Committee Chairperson (Print name): __________________________ Date: ____________

   Committee Chairperson’s Signature: ________________________________

The CLA/SAMC Promotion and Tenure Committee evaluation report is due to the Office of the Provost by **March 1**. If the evaluation is *Unsatisfactory* in any category the Dean shall review the submitted documents and prepare a separate report and recommendation. The Dean’s and Committee’s report and recommendations shall be forwarded to the Provost for review by **April 1**.